## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**MAILED** 

MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC. 201 BROADWAY 8TH FLOOR CAMBRIDGE MA 02139

JUN 072010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 6,950,600

Issue Date: September 27, 2005

Application No.: 09/840,334

Filed: April 23, 2001 Attorney Docket No.: **ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition, first filed March 22, 2010, under 37 CFR 1.378(b) to accept the unavoidably delayed payment of the 3.5-year maintenance fee for the above-identified patent. The instant petition will also be treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c) to accept unintentionally delayed payment of the 3.5-year maintenance fee.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c) is **GRANTED**.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b) is **DISMISSED AS MOOT.** 

## TREATMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.378(c)

The patent issued September 27, 2005. The grace period for paying the 3.5-year maintenance fee expired at midnight September 27, 2009. Therefore, since the petition was filed within twenty-four months after the six-month grace period provided in 37 CFR 1.362(e), this petition was timely filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.378(c).

Accordingly, the 3.5-year maintenance fee of \$980.00 along with the surcharge of \$1640.00 was received on March 22, 2010, and is accepted. The above-identified patent is hereby reinstated as of the mail date of this decision.

It is noted that 37 CFR § 1.378(c) requires a statement that the entire delay between the date the 3.5-year maintenance fee was due and the date a grantable petition was filed was unintentional. Since the statement appearing in the petition varies from the required language, the statement is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR §1.378(c). If this is not a correct reading of the statement appearing in the petition, petitioner should promptly notify the Office.

## TREATMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.378(b)

It is noted that petitioner used the form for a petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b) and made some intimation that the delay in paying the 3.5-year maintenance fee was unavoidable. It is further noted, however, that petitioner paid the surcharge of \$1,640.00 required under 37 CFR 1.378(c). As the showing made in the petition did not rise to evidentiary level required for a

petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b) and petitioner paid the surcharge for a petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c), the petition was considered and is granted under 37 CFR 1.378(c). Accordingly, there is no relief that can be provided by a petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b); the petition is, therefore, dismissed as moot.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.

Kenya A. McLaughlin

Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions